ISSN: 1520-5509

Clarion University of Pennsylvania, Clarion, Pennsylvania

# ANALYSIS OF THE RELATIONSHIPS OF URBANIZATION DYNAMICS AND INCIDENCES OF URBAN FLOOD DISASTER IN GOMBE METROPOLIS, NIGERIA

Dabara Ibrahim Daniel

Estate Management Department, Federal Polytechnic Ede, Osun State, Nigeria

Gumnie Rueben Juji

Urban and Regional Planning Department, Federal University of Technology Yola, Adamawa State, Nigeria

Nwosu Akuakanwa Eziukwu

Estate Management Department, Federal Polytechnic Ede, Osun State, Nigeria

Abdulazeez Hakeem Omilola

Estate Management Department, Waziri Umaru Federal Polytecnic, Birnin Kebbi, Kebbi State, Nigeria

## **ABSTRACT**

The purpose of this study is to analyze the relationships of urbanization dynamics and incidences of flood disaster in Gombe metropolis, Nigeria. In this research work, the survey research design was used to obtain data from the field. Stratified sampling technique was adopted in determining the study sample which consisted of 250 households (i.e. 13% of the population). 250 questionnaires were administered to household heads in the study area, 237 questionnaires were retrieved and used for analysis. Spearman's rank correlation and multiple linear regression analysis were used to examine the relationships between flood disaster and urbanization dynamics. The study showed that urbanization dynamics is the major factor responsible for flood in Gombe metropolis (r = 0.805, P = 0.000). It was recommended among others, that the town planners and property developers, supported by the legislative and executive powers, should direct the urban development and intervene in the urbanization process towards an ecological sustainability.

Keywords: Urbanization, flood, urbanization dynamics, disaster, sustainability

#### INTRODUCTION

Floods by nature are complex events caused by a range of human vulnerabilities, inappropriate development planning and climate variability. Generally, it is attributed to global warming, climate change, ocean swell/surge and torrential rains. Although flood hazards are natural phenomena, damage and lose from floods are mostly the consequences of urbanization without corresponding infrastructural restructuring (Brooks, 2003). Normal floods are expected and generally welcomed in many parts of the world as they provide rich soil, water and a means of transport, but flooding at an unexpected scale and with excessive frequency causes damage to life, livelihoods and the environment. Hence, a solution to curbing this menace, will mean saving of millions of lives and properties. Over the past decades, the pattern of floods across all continents has been changing, becoming more frequent, intense and unpredictable for local communities, particularly as issues of

1

development and poverty have led more people to live in areas vulnerable to flooding. The Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change IPCC (2007) predicts that 'heavy precipitation events, which are very likely to increase in frequency, will augment flood risk'.

The problem of flood in Nigeria and particularly in Gombe has spanned over a long period, and is associated with many factors. Among these factors is the increase in population and rapid urbanization aggravated by urban sprawl, unplanned development, overgrazing, and excessive land cultivation. The town, which lies at the western side of Liji hills on the Akko escarpment, is facing threats from drainage problems. However, in spite of efforts made by Government, Individuals, Nongovernmental organizations and International donor agencies, the problem of flood is increasing rapidly in Gombe.

The world Bank, in its effort to assist the state fight against the menace of flood in the year 2000 embark on the construction of drainages in GRA, Pantami, Madaki, Yelwan Bogo /BCGA, Sabon Layi and Herwagana. In 2005/2006 SARPLAST was awarded the contract for the construction of drainages in: FMC road/Federal Lowcost/Checheniya and Buba Shongo/Jekadafari/Kumbiya-kumbiya. Despite all the effort stated above, the problem still persists. The major purpose of this study is to identify the major cause(s) of flood in the study area, through a critical analysis of the relationships of urbanization dynamics and the incidences of flood in the study area. Identification and proffering of solution to the menace of flood disaster, will serve as a precursor for sustainable development in Gombe in particular, and in similar developing countries in Africa in general. The paper is divided into six sections: The introduction, review of related literature, study area, methodology, results /discussion and lastly conclusion/recommendation.

#### RELATED LITERATURE

Flood disaster is defined here in terms of risk to humans and human society, and is seen as a product of the severity and probability of occurrence of flood hazard and the vulnerability of the population/system (Brooks, 2003). Vulnerability is shaped by a combination of physical, social, economic and environmental factors. In the past, physical aspects of vulnerability - the spatial distribution of populations and infrastructure in relation to flood hazard - tend to receive more attention in hazards research (Hilhorst, and Bankof, 2004)). But there is now increasing recognition given to the social aspects of vulnerability. Flooding, is one of the most frequent and widespread of all environmental hazards and of various types and magnitudes, occur in most terrestrial portions of the globe, causing huge annual losses in terms of damage and disruption to economic livelihoods, businesses, infrastructure, services and public health. Long term data on natural disasters suggest that floods and wind storms have been by far the most common causes of natural disaster worldwide over the past 100 years (Few. R., Ahern M., Matthies, F. and Kovats, S., 2004). According to the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, in 10 years from 1993 to 2002 flood disasters affected more people across the globe (140 million per year on average) than all the other natural or technological disasters put together (IFRC, 2003).

A review of climate change impacts on urbanization by the international institute of environment and development (Huq. S., Kovats, S., Reid, H. and Satterthwaitte, D., 2007) found that floods are already having severe impacts on cities, smaller urban centers and rural areas in many African Nations. Examples cited include floods in Mozambique in 2000, heavy rains in East Africa in 2002 that brought floods and mudslides and forced tens of thousands to leave their homes in Rwanda, Kenya, Burundi, Tanzania and Uganda (Huq. S., Kovats, S., Reid, H. and Satterthwaitte, D., 2007).

Table 1: Types of floods

Туре	Duration	Characteristic impacts
Predictable, regular	Up to 3 months	Blocks access. Damage and displacement of population often relatively low depending on levels of protection.
flooding		
Increased size of	Up to 6	Blocks access to many areas. Greater potential for infrastructure damage,
regular flooding	months	livelihoods impacts, and large displacement of population
Flash flooding	A few days	Rapid cresting often with little warning. High velocity flood flows can destroy
	to weeks	infrastructure. Population displacement often localized.
Urban flooding	A few days	Can be rapid-onset, often coming from flash floods in urban rivers or from
	to weeks	saturation or blockage of urban drainage systems. Potential for infrastructure
		damage affecting larger service area. Population displacement often localized.
Coastal flooding	A few days	Often combined with wind damage from storms. Damage and displacement
		along coastline with extent depending on storm size.
Slow-onset from	3-6 months	Depending on season, damage to crops may be significant. Population
	Blocks access.	displacement limited and may be dependent on food security

Adopted from McCluskey, 2001

With an increasingly urbanizing world, flood disasters are reportedly increasing in urban areas and particularly negatively impacting on poor people and urban development in general (Alam, K., Herson, M., and O□Donnel, I., 2008). The magnitude of disaster is not determined by floodwater alone but also by the pattern of vulnerability in which people live. The lives and livelihoods of many poor people are hardest hit by floods. Henderson (2004) and Satterthwaite et al (2007), asserted that the level of risk and vulnerability in urban areas of developing countries is attributable to socio-economic stress, aging and inadequate physical infrastructure. Nigerian urban areas are typical examples of this high level of risk and vulnerability (Olorunfemi, 2008). Many risk problems sit at the interface of the natural and social environment, such as flooding, which

occurs as the result of the inadequate provision and maintenance of drainage systems, the location of people on marginal sites, and the physical characteristics of an area (Olorunfemi, 2008).

Sultana, Thompson, and Green (2007) asserted that structural measures like embankments can provide protection against many types of flooding. In South and South-east Asia, flood-proofing measures includes raising the plinths or foundations for homesteads, flood shelters and schools (DEC, 2000). In Bangladesh, one measure that has proved effective is keeping space for livestock in flood shelters (DEC, 2000). Counter measures taken by different nations to mitigate the impact of flood disasters are varied and numerous, all these measures are aimed at ensuring safety of lives and livelihoods as well as ecological sustainability.

## STUDY AREA

The study area is Gombe metropolis, it is located on latitude 10<sup>0</sup>12'N and longitude 11<sup>0</sup>10'E. The LGA (Local Government Area) has an area of 52 km² and a population of 266,844 persons according to 2006 population census (NPC, 2006), today the population is projected to be 399,531 persons using 3.2% growth rate (National Population Commission Gombe State Office). Gombe state was created out of the then Bauchi state in 1<sup>st</sup> October 1991 with its headquarters situated in Gombe. The state is located between latitude 9°30' and 12°30'N and longitudes 8°45' and 11 °45'E of the Greenwich Meridian. It share common borders with Borno,Yobe, Taraba, Adamawa and Bauchi states. Gombe has two distinct seasons, the dry season (November-March) and the rainy season (April-October) with an average rainfall of 114cm. A large part of the existing town is at the foot of the Akko escarpment and on a shallow dish-like site. However, there is a westward expansion of the town up-hill the escarpment.

Figure. 1: Map of Nigeria showing Gombe State

Figure. 2: Map of Gombe State showing the study area





Figure 3: Map data showing Gombe town

Figure 4: Map showing overview of Gombe metropolis



#### Methodology

In this study, the survey research design was used to collect data from the study area. The targeted population for this study consisted of 1,923 households in flood prone areas in Gombe metropolis. 13% of the population was used as sample size. The stakeholders involved cut across civil servants, business men/women, and farmers. The sampled areas included: GRA, Pantami, Burundi, Checheniya, Federal lowcost, Jekadafari, Herwagana, Jankai and Tudun Wada. The stratified sampling technique was adopted for sampling. Two sources of data i.e. (primary and secondary) were used to collect data from the study area. Primary Source; these data were sourced from the field through personal observations, structured and unstructured interviews, as well as a structured questionnaire (250 questionnaires were administered to family heads in the study area; however, only 237 questionnaires were retrieved and used for analysis). Secondary Source; these are data sourced from documented materials. The study involves two variables i.e. the criterion variable (flood) and the predictor variables (urbanization dynamics). Simple statistical tools such as tabulation, percentages and charts were used in the analysis of the collated data. Spearman's rank correlation and multiple linear regression analysis were also carried out to determine the relationship between the criterion and predictor variables.


#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Gombe is blessed with natural gullies that have presently metamorphosed into streams, see Figure (5), the sizes of these gullies are wide enough to accommodate any storm water and runoff from drains down to the river outside the town. Besides, the nature of soil in the upper region of the escarpment is soft and sandy and can easily permeate or infiltrate rainwater. Nevertheless, due to urban sprawl, that has encouraged residential development and hard surfaces; the vegetation cover is displaced, thereby reducing the chance by which rainwater could infiltrate into the soil. Furthermore, Individuals build

rampantly without control even on drainage channels (see Figure 6). While others indiscriminately dump refuse on drainage channels (see Figure 7 and 8), all these aggravates flood problem in Gombe metropolis.

Figure 5: Gullies in Gombe

Figure 6: Buildings on drainage channels






Figure 7: Poor maintenance of drainage channels

Figure 8: Refuse dumped in drainage channels





Table 2: Annual/ Monthly Rainfall Figures of Gombe 2000-2011.

Month	Year							Mean					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	200 7	2008	2009	201	2011	
JAN.													
FEB.													
MARCH													
APRIL	20.7	19.6	20.15	40.3	14	66.7		19.5	14.7		18.9		26.0611
MAY	7.5	80.7	44.1	31.6	63	0.3	70.1	54.9	125	147.3	122. 5	73.7	68.3916
JUNE	157.8	92	124.7	107.4	66.3	148.6	144.5	118. 4	109.9	136.0	144. 2	117.4	122.266 7
JULY	236.5	235.2	235.8	203.9	268.5	139.3	221.3	259. 4	107.3	159.6	187. 4	130.2	198.7
AUG.	238.7	272.4	255.5	387.6	435.4	339.9	175.6	271. 8	347.6	220.1	182. 0	237.2	280.316
SEPT.	131.7	181.6	156.6	159.1	136.4	181.7	264.7	141. 9	194.3	159.0	122.	120.8	162.508
OCT.	11.3	28.9	20.1	52.8	22.0	83.6	28.9		72	67.3	64.8	76.0	47.9727
NOV.						8.2							8.2
DEC.													
MEAN	114.8	130.0	122.4	140.3	143.6	121.0	150.8	144.	124.8	148.2	120.	125.8	114.302
ANNUAL RAINFAL L	8	6	2	8	5	4	5	3	3	1	3	8	1
(cm)													

Source: Federal Ministry of Aviation, Meteorology Unit, Gombe.

Gombe is located within the Sub-Sudan climate zone. It is characterized by two distinct seasons: dry season (November to March) and wet season (April to October). The highest rainfall is recorded in August (Mean of 280.3167cm) as shown in Table 2 above and the lowest in November (Mean of 8.2cm). The rainfall data shows that Gombe experiences moderate rainfall during the rainy seasons, and no rain at all during the dry seasons.


Table 3: Urbanization dynamics

Urbanization dynamics	Frequency	Percent	<b>Cumulative Percent</b>
Lack Of Drainage	103	43.5	43.5
Indiscriminate Dumping Of Refuse	39	16.5	59.9
Lack Of Good Layout Plan	44	18.6	78.5
Lack Of Development Control Measures	42	17.7	96.2
Slope/Gradient Of The Area	9	3.8	100.0
Total	237	100.0	

Source: Field Survey 2011

From the urbanization dynamics in the study area, lack of drainage facilities constitutes the major factor that is causing flood in Gombe (42.5%). Slope and gradient does not seem to cause any significant problem rather, it serves as an advantage to channel flood/storm water away easily down to the river.

Figure 9: Effects of flood disaster.



Field survey, 2011

The effects of flood in Gombe metropolis is becoming more worrisome to residents living within the town. From Figure 9 above, the issue does not only affect residents financially (53%), but also socially (23%) and psychologically (10%).




Figure 10: Destruction by flood

Source: Field survey 2011.

Many lives and property worth millions of naira is lost to flood almost every year in Gombe. From Figure 10, respondents indicated that flood destroys: residential buildings (49.9%), shops and business premises (22.8%), human and animal lives (10.1%) and service lines (10.1%). The August 20<sup>th</sup> 2004 flood was the worst flood disasters experienced in Gombe. State Emergency Management Agency (SEMA) reports that over 120 people were reported dead, while many houses were swept away completely and several others collapsed. As a result, several thousands of families were rendered homeless. Many shops were destroyed and roadside drainages were blocked by silt and sand. Some roads were rendered impassable as heavy deposits of sand covered everywhere. Vehicular traffic was disrupted for few days. Service lines such as PHCN poles, NITEL lines and Water pipes were badly affected.

Table 4: Flood Frequency

Frequency of flood	Frequency	Percent	<b>Cumulative Percent</b>
Every Month Of The	24	10.1	10.1
Season			
Every Year	145	61.2	71.3
Once In Two Years	34	14.3	85.7
None At All	19	8.0	93.7
Others	15	6.3	100.0
Total	237	100.0	

Source: Field survey 2011.

Flood incidences have become a perennial problem in Gombe metropolis. Table 5 above shows that every year residents in Gombe metropolis experience flood during the wet seasons (61.2%). Even though the average annual rainfall figure (114.3cm, see Table 2) is low, yet every year there is a record of flood incidence. This is a clear indication that, it is not high amount of rainfall that is causing flood in Gombe; rather it is the direct result of negative influence of urbanization dynamics.

Table 5: Correlation analysis

			Urbanization	
			Dynamics	Flood Incidence
Spearman's rho	Urbanization	Correlation	1.000	.805**
	Dynamics	Coefficient		
		Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
		N	237	237
	Flood Incidence	Correlation Coefficient	.805**	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
		N	237	237

Analysis of survey data, 2011

The correlation coefficient between flood incidence and urbanization dynamics is 0.805 indicating a strong positive correlation. This correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed) of significance as indicated by a p value of 0.000. This

implies that there is a strong positive relationship between the dependent variable (flood) and the independent variables (urbanization dynamics).

Table 6: Regression Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin-Watson
1	.929ª	.863	.858	.374	.211

Analysis of survey data, 2011

Table 6 shows the regression model summary result, which indicates a high positive correlation of 0.929 between the variables. The Durbin – Watson statistic (d) of 0.211 indicates the presence of some degree of positive auto correlation between the variables.

Table 7: ANOVA (Analysis of Variance)

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	200.557	7	28.651	205.356	.000ª
Residual	31.950	229	.140		
Total	232.506	236			

Analysis of survey data, 2011

Table 7 tests the overall significance of the coefficients ( $\beta$ 's). The results indicated that the overall model is statistically significant, [F (7,229) = 205.356, P = 0.000].

Table 8: Coefficients

Model	<b>Unstandardized Coefficients</b>		Standardized		
			Coefficients		
	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	.424	.128		3.315	.001
Distance Of Property To Drainage	.329	.062	.391	5.331	.000
Location Of Residents	226	.078	339	-2.903	.004
Availability Of Layout	.014	.106	.007	.133	.895
Plot Size	.358	.101	.410	3.555	.000

Analysis of survey data, 2011

In table 8, the result reveals that, of all the predictors, only availability of layout (t =0.895, p = 0.133) does not significantly predicts flood incidence in the study area. While all the other predictors were statistically significant. The regression equation adopted is  $Y = X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 + \dots + X_n$ 

Where: Y = Flood disaster

 $X_1 = Distance of Property to Drainage (DPD), <math>X_2 = Location of Residents (LOR)$ 

X<sub>3</sub>= Availability of Layout (AOV), X<sub>4</sub>= Plot Size (PS), X<sub>5</sub>= Urbanization Dynamics (UD)

Therefore the regression equation is  $Y = 0.424 + 0.329X_1 + (-0.226) X2 + 0.014X_3 + 0.358X_4 + (-0.349) X5$ . Which can be presented as Y = 0.424 + 0.329(DPD) - 0.226 (LOR) + 0.014(AOL) + 0.358(PS) - 0.349(UD).

#### CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Contrary to the general assumption that heavy rainfall is the major cause of urban flooding, the study revealed that urbanization dynamics such as: location of residence (increasing informal settlements on flood prone areas), lack of good drainage facilities and distance of properties from drainage facilities, lack of a proper layout plan, indiscriminate dumping of refuse on drainage channels, indiscriminate building on water ways, plot sizes and lack of development control measures are among the major factors responsible for flood in Gombe metropolis.

It was recommended that effective countermeasures to combat the issue of flood in the study area, as well as achievement of sustainable development require the contribution of all stakeholders. The town planners and property developers, supported by the legislative and executive powers, should direct the urban development and intervene in the urbanization process towards an ecological sustainability. A drainage system for sewage and surface run-off in the entire metropolis is absolutely necessary. Along the Akko slope of the Gombe catchment area a cascade system, consisting of an arrangement of retention basins and integrated small terraces bound by fast growing hedges, could effectively reduce the surface run-off and the causal flush erosion during a strong rainfall event. The meteorological agencies in the state should ensure that information on seasonal forecast and climate prediction data are made available, this is to ensure that strategies to reduce vulnerability are implemented. It is evident that resources earmarked for preventing and mitigating the impact of natural phenomena such as flood, are a very high-yield investment, both in economic, social and political terms in line with long-term growth. Hence government at all tiers should intervene by making available funds for such intervention. A paradigm shift of the behavioral pattern of the urban community, most especially with respect to urbanization is very crucial to the mitigation of flood disasters as well as ensuring an ecological sustainability in African countries in general, and in Gombe metropolis of Nigeria in particular.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Our deepest gratitude goes to Dr. Joseph Oluwaseyi Adegoke for his guidance and encouragement. We acknowledge the effort of our senior colleagues in Obafemi Awolowo University and Federal Polytechnic Ede for their great contribution to this work.

#### REFERENCES

Alam, K., Herson, M., O□Donnel, I. (2008) Flood Disasters: Learning from Previous Relief and

Recovery Operations. Provention Consortium and ALNAP.

Brooks, N. (2003) "Vulnerability, risk and adaptation: A conceptual framework" Tyndal Center

for Climate Change Research working Paper 38. pp20

DEC (2000). Bangladesh 1998 Flood Appeal - An independent evaluation. Final report. London:

Disasters Emergency Committee. apps.odi.org.uk/erd/ReportDetail.aspx?reportID=2859

Few. R., Ahern M., Matthies, F. and Kovats, S. (2004) "Floods, Health and Climate Change: A

Strategic Review". Working Paper No. 63. Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research.

Henderson, L.J. (2004) "Emergency and Disaster: Pervasive Risk and Public Bureaucracy in

Developing Nations". Public Organization Review: A Global Journal Vol. 4 pp 103-119

Hilhorst, D. and Bankof, G. (2004) Introduction: Mapping Vulnerability. In Mapping

*Vulnerability: Disasters, Development and People* (eds G. Bankoff, G. Frerks & D. Hilhorst), pp. 1-9. Earthscan, Sterling, VA.

Huq. S., Kovats, S., Reid, H. and Satterthwaitte, D. (2007). Editorial: "Reducing Risks to Cities

from Disasters and Climate Change", Environment and Urbanization, 19 (1): 3-15

IFRC(2003): World Disaster Report (Geneva: IFRCRCS); retrieved on the 9<sup>th</sup> January 2012,

from www.ifrc.org.

IPCC (2007). Fourth Assessment Report. Geneva: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

Retrieved on 8<sup>th</sup> February 2012, from www.ipcc.ch

McCluskey, J. (2001). Water Supply, Health and Vulnerability in Floods. Waterlines. Vol.19 No.3

January

NPC (2006). Population and Housing Census of the Federal Republic of Nigeria. Priority Tables (LGA) National Population Commission Volume II.

Olorunfemi F.B (2008) "Disaster Incidence and Management in Nigeria". Research Review.

Vol.24 No. 2, pp 1-23

Olorunfemi, F.B (2010) "Climate Change and Flood Risk in the Informal Settlements of Cape

Town: Understanding Vulnerability and Adaptation Options" Final Technical Report of The African Climate Change Fellowship Programme, Submitted to Global Change SysTems for Analysis, Research and Training (START), Washington DC, USA.

Satterthwaite, D., Saleemul H., Mark P., Hannah, R. and Patricia R. (2007) "Adapting to Climate

Change in Urban Areas The possibilities and constraints in low- and middle-income nations" Human Settlements Discussion Paper Series.

Sultana, P. Thomspson P. Green C. (2007). Can England Learn Lessons from Bangladesh in Introducing

*Participatory Floodplain Management?* Netherlands: Water Resources Management. Retrieved on13th December 2011, from www.springerlink.com.

# **ABOUT THE AUTHORS:**

Dabara Ibrahim Dabara: Estate Management Department, Federal Polytechnic Ede, Osun State, Nigeria.

Gumnie Rueben Juji: Urban and Regional Planning Department, Federal University of Technology Yola, Adamawa State, Nigeria.

Nwosu Akuakanwa Eziukwu: Estate Management Department, Federal PolytechnicEde, Osun State, Nigeria.

Abdulazeez Hakeem Omilolal: Estate Management Department, Waziri Umaru Federal Polytecnic, Birnin Kebbi, Kebbi State, Nigeria.